GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RELATIONS BOARD

| | | and the second s |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| In the Matter of | : |)) |
| Committee of Interns and Residents, | |))) PERB Case No. 89-R-02 |
| | Petitioner, | Opinion No. 237 |
| and | |)) |
| D.C. General Hospital Commission, | |)) |
| | Agency. |))) |

DECISION AND ORDER OF ELECTION

On January 27, 1989, the Committee of Interns and Residents (CIR) filed a Recognition Petition with the Public Employee Relations Board (Board) seeking the exclusive right to represent housestaff officers, including interns, residents and fellows, on the payroll of D.C. General Hospital (DCGH). The Petition was properly accompanied by a showing of interest meeting the requirements of Section 101.2 of the Interim Rules of the Board. CIR filed amendments to the unit description on February 1, 1989 and May 17, 1989. 1/

Notices concerning the Petition were posted on February 8, 1989. DCGH submitted comments wherein it opposed the finding of a unit appropriate for purposes of collective bargaining. Duly noticed hearings were conducted on May 18, 1989, May 26, 1989 and July 31, 1989, with additional hearing dates scheduled. On October 23, 1989 the parties filed a Joint Motion wherein DCGH withdrew its objections, and in an attached Memorandum of Understanding the parties agreed that the following unit would be appropriate for collective bargaining:

^{1/} The first amendment added language listing the statutory exclusions contained in D.C. Code Section 1-618.9(b). The second amendment modified the unit description in conformity with the existing compensation bargaining unit no. 12. See AFSCME et al., Opinion No. 5, PERB Case No. 80-R-05 (1981), as amended in DCGH and Physicians National Housestaff Union, 29 D.C. Register 1859, Opinion No. 35, PERB Case No. 81-R-02 (1982).

Decision and Order of Election PERB Case No. 89-R-02 Page 2

> Medical and dental interns, residents and fellows who are being paid by the District of Columbia General Hospital pursuant to educational program in which they scheduled to be or are on the payroll at DCGH for at least six (6) months during a residency year, and additionally all interns, residents, the Obstetrics/Gynecology and fellows in Department who being paid by are Excluded are all other interns, residents and fellows who are on the payroll of DCGH for less than six (6) months during a residency year. Also excluded are management officials confidential employees, supervisors, employees engaged in personnel work in other than a purely clerical capacity and employees engaged in administering the provisions of Title XVII of the District of Columbia Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978, as amended.

An appropriate unit for purposes of collective bargaining, according to D.C. Code Section 1-618.9(a), is one in which employees share a community of interest, including interests such as skills, working conditions, common supervision, physical location, organization structure, distinctiveness of performed and the existence of integrated processes." The record in this matter, derived from three days of testimony, shows that the employees in the unit sought are all on the same payroll system, are supervised by attending physicians, that the required skills and education are essentially the same, and hours of work are similar. The employees in the unit work at the same location (DCGH), all housestaff receive the same benefits, and are subject to DCGH rules and regulations. DCGH has a role in the evaluation process and the DCGH grievance procedure is There is no claim that the unit will not promote utilized. effective labor relations and the efficiency of agency operations. The proposed unit thus meets the statutory criteria set forth in D.C. Code Section 1-618.9(a) and is therefore appropriate for purposes of collective bargaining over terms and conditions of employment.

Having concluded its investigation and review of the record in the matter, the Board finds that, in accordance with D.C. Code Section 1-618.9(a), a community of interest exists among employees in the proposed unit and that the unit will promote effective labor relations and the efficiency of agency operations. Therefore, the Board concludes that the above-described unit meets the requirements for an appropriate unit for collective bargaining over terms and conditions of employment and directs that an election should

Decision and Order of Election PERB Case No. 89-R-02 Page 3

be held to determine the will of the eligible employees concerning representation in collective bargaining with DCGH.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

An election be held pursuant to Section 102 of the Interim Rules of the Board to determine whether the employees in the unit described above wish to be represented by CIR, or not, for purposes of collective bargaining.

BY ORDER OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RELATIONS BOARD Washington, D.C.

November 8, 1989